UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA FLORENCE DIVISION

Barbara Rogers, a/k/a Barbara Hannah, Rogers) Civil Action No.: 4:22-cv-03771-RBH
Plaintiff,)
v.	ORDER
Caliber Home Loans Inc.; Select Portfolio Servicing; US Bank National Association; Hanson Law Firm PA; and Domaine De Horte,))))
Defendants.)))

This matter is before the Court on Plaintiff Barbara Rogers's objections to the Report and Recommendation ("R & R") of United States Magistrate Judge Kaymani D. West, who recommends summarily dismissing Plaintiff's complaint. *See* ECF No. 18.

The Magistrate Judge makes only a recommendation to this Court. The recommendation has no presumptive weight, and the responsibility to make a final determination remains with this Court. *See Mathews v. Weber*, 423 U.S. 261, 270–71 (1976). The Court is charged with making a de novo determination of those portions of the R & R to which specific objection is made, and the Court may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the recommendation of the Magistrate Judge or recommit the matter with instructions. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b).

Plaintiff has not filed objections to the R & R, and the time for doing so has expired.² In the absence of objections to the R & R, the Court is not required to give any explanation for adopting the

The Magistrate Judge issued the R & R in accordance with 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) and Local Civil Rule 73.02(B)(2)(e) (D.S.C.).

Plaintiff's objections were due by December 19, 2022. See ECF Nos. 18 & 19.

4:22-cv-03771-RBH Date Filed 12/20/22 Entry Number 20 Page 2 of 2

Magistrate Judge's recommendations. See Camby v. Davis, 718 F.2d 198, 199–200 (4th Cir. 1983).

The Court reviews only for clear error in the absence of an objection. See Diamond v. Colonial Life &

Acc. Ins. Co., 416 F.3d 310, 315 (4th Cir. 2005) (stating that "in the absence of a timely filed objection,

a district court need not conduct de novo review, but instead must 'only satisfy itself that there is no

clear error on the face of the record in order to accept the recommendation" (quoting Fed. R. Civ. P.

72 advisory committee's note)).

Having found no clear error, the Court **ADOPTS** the Magistrate Judge's R & R [ECF No. 18]

and incorporates it herein by reference. Consequently, the Court **DISMISSES** Plaintiff's complaint

without prejudice and without further leave to amend, as Plaintiff has already been given the

opportunity to do so. See Britt v. DeJoy, 45 F.4th 790, 798 (4th Cir. 2022).

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Florence, South Carolina December 20, 2022

s/ R. Bryan HarwellR. Bryan HarwellChief United States District Judge

2